FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM				1999 Session					
		LRB # -2920/	1						
■ ORIGINAL [	□ UPDATED	INTRODUCTION # S.B. 142							
☐ CORRECTED [	SUPPLEMENTAL	Admin. Rule#	Admin. Rule #						
Subject Classifying All County Jailers as Protectives Under the WRS									
Fiscal Effect				:					
State: ■ No State Fiscal Effect			1 <b>_</b>						
Check columns below only if bill makes a or affects a sum sufficient appropr	☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb  Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No								
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation	☐ Increase Exi	sting Revenues							
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation	☐ Decrease Ex	sisting Revenues	☐ Decrease Costs						
☐ Create New Appropriation			L						
Local:  No local government costs	2 Unaronna Br	ovenues.	F. Types of Legal Covernmental Units Affected:						
Increase Costs     □ Permissive □ Mandatory	3. ☐ Increase Re		5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: ☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities						
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease R	-	■ Counties □ Other						
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permiss	ive   Mandatory	y ☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts						
Fund Sources Affected		Affected C	h. 20 Appropriations						
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ F  Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Est	RS SEG S	SEG-S							
Statutes now governing the Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS) define certain positions as protective participants, and also provide that employers shall designate other positions as protective if the positions' principle duties involve law enforcement or fire suppression, require frequent exposure to a high degree of danger, and require a high degree of physical conditioning. The job descriptions and functions of county jailers vary across the state; and accordingly, some counties designate county jailers as protectives, while others designate them as general employees.  The purpose of this bill is to define all county jailers across the state as protective participants, with creditable service earned under the WRS to be counted as "protective" only after the bill's effective date. This fiscal note assumes that 470 jailer positions would newly become protective, and that these positions will have an average salary in 2000 of \$36,000 for a total covered payroll for affected positions of \$17 million. The employer retirement costs will increase by about 2.2%, and added employer costs for s. 40.65 death and disability benefit would average about 3.4% of payroll for a total added employer cost of \$939,000. Employer retirement costs would decrease by 0.1% of payroll, or \$17,000 an amount which is subject to possible employer "pick-up". Hence, the total added costs for mandating these positions as protectives would be about \$920,000 during 2000, and all of these costs would be allocated to county government.									
Long-Range Fiscal Implications: Increased retirement and s. 40.65 death and disability costs would continue each year at about 5.5% of payroll for the affected county jailer positions.									
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Na	ame Autl	horized Signature / Teleph	one No.	Date					
Joint Survey Committee on	(DET) -	Sext J. Denn	down	5/13/99					
Retirement Systems	Sco	ott Dennison	6-5251						

	CAL ESTIMA	TE WORKSHEET	Detailed	Estimate of Annual	Fiscal Effe	ect				1999	<b>3</b>	
	ORIGINAL	UPDATED	LRB # -2	2920/1						Adn	nin, F	Rule#
L	CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	INTROD	UCTION #	S.B.	142				1		
Sub	ject	auntu lailara an Dra	tostivos Undo	r the MPS								
I.	One-time Co	ounty Jailers as Pro ests or Revenue Imp	acts for State	r the vvRS and/or Local Gov	ernment	(do no	ot incl	ude in anr	nualize	d fisca	l effe	ct):
						•						
II.	Annualized	Costs:				Annualized Fiscal impact				t on State funds from:		
_	04-4- 04-	h. Ostonom				Inc	reased	l Costs		Decreas	sed Co	sts
A.		s <b>by Category</b> perations - Salaries	and Fringes			\$	- 0 -		\$	- 0	-	
		61						FTE)				FTE)
(FTE Position Changes)						(		F1C)		(-		116)
	State O	perations - Other C	osts							-		
	Local A	ssistance								-		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations									-		
	тот	ΓAL State Costs by	Category			\$			\$	<b>-</b>		
В.	State Costs	by Source of Fu	nds			Inc	reased	Costs		Decreas	ed Co	sts
	GPR					\$			\$	-		
	FED									-		
	PRO/PF	<b>RS</b>								-		
	SEG/SE	EG-S						-		-,		
State Revenues Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)					state	Increased Rev.				Decreased Rev.		
	GPR Ta		ix increase, decrea	se ili ilicelise lee, etc.)		\$			\$	-		·
	GPR Ea	arned								-		
	FED											
	PRO/PF	RS								-		
	SEG/SE	EG-S								-		
-	тот	ΓAL State Revenue	s			\$			\$	-		
			NET ANN	UALIZED FISCA STATE		СТ			LOC	AL		
NE:	Γ CHANGE IN	I COSTS		\$				\$	920	0,000		_
		N REVENUES		\$				\$				

Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name
Joint Survey Committee on
Retirement Systems

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

Scott Dennison

Date
5/13/99